

PATENT RESPONSE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

Application No. : 09/828,597
 Filing Date : April 5, 2001
 Inventors : Garland R. Bullock and Paul V. Tischler
 Title : Method and System for Migrating Dynamic Master Templates in a Biometric Verification System
 Examiner : Michael J. Pyzocha
 Art Unit : 2137
 Attorney Docket : BAC-32570 (19620.0004)
 Confirmation No. : 5682
 Customer No. : 022202

MAY 03 2005

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

Mailing

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
 with sufficient postage as first class mail

37 CFR 1.8(a) **37 CFR 1.10**

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No. _____

Transmission

transmitted by facsimile to Fax No. 703-872-9306 addressed to Examiner Michael J. Pyzocha at the Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: _____

Mail Stop Amendment
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

Introductory Comments begin on:	page 2 of 10
Remarks begin on:	page 3 of 10
Conclusion begins on:	page 9 of 10
Extension of Time begins on	page 10 of 10

Application No. 09/828,597

PATENT RESPONSE

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

After careful review, Applicant hereby responds to a November 3, 2004 non-final Office Action regarding the above-referenced patent application. In view of this Response, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant has not added new matter with this Response, and Applicant intends the scope of the invention and claims to be the same before and after this Response. Indeed, Applicant only offers this Response to clarify the invention for the Examiner and to assist the Examiner's understanding of the same. More specifically, Applicant has not intended this Response to effectuate a narrowing of the claims, foreclose techniques that are not reasonably foreseeable at this time, or effect the applicability and scope of the Doctrine of Equivalents.